



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,998	08/31/2000	Lingyi A Zheng	M4065.0315/P315	2931
24998 75	590 07/29/2003			
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER	
	2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		TSAI, H JEY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2812	<u></u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8		- Applicant(a)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/651,998	ZHENG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	H.Jey Tsai	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N by will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) 1	filed on 12 May 2003						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	, 	natters, prosecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in ab						
	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	y documents have been received.						
	y documents have been received in						
	s of the priority documents have be mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) on for a list of the certified copies n)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 25					

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-59 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shue et al. 6,380,056 in view of Ma 6,207,589, both are previously applied.

The reference(s) teach the features:

Shue et al. substantially discloses a method of forming a capacitor on the semiconductor device, which includes:

forming a conductive layer 12,

forming a silicon nitride layer 18, col. 7, lines 8+,

contacting silicon nitride layer with a mixture of hydrogen and oxidizing oxygen and nitrous gases (N₂O) to oxidize the silicon nitride layer, see col. 7, lines 33+ and claims 11-14, forming an upper capacitor electrode 22.

The difference between the reference(s) and the claims are as follows:

Shue et al. lacks thickness of the dielectric layer. However, Ma teaches dielectric layer 56 is between 2-200 A° and contacting dielectric layer 56 with a mixture of hydrogen and oxidizing oxygen and nitrous gases (N₂O) to condition the high k dielectric layer and to include oxygen in the alloy film of metal oxide in col. 6, lines 1+. And, the specific coating thickness, gas flow rate and temperature as claimed are taken to be obvious since these are variables of art recognized importance which are subject to routine experimentation and optimization and discovery of an optimum value for a known process is obvious. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). And, even if applicants' modification results in great improvement and utility

Application/Control Number: 09/651,998

Art Unit: 2812

over the prior art, it may still not be patentable if the modification was within the capabilities of one skilled in the art, In Re Sola 25 USPQ 433.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Shue et al.'s process with a capacitor dielectric layer of between 2-200 A° and oxidizing dielectric layer with a mixture of hydrogen and oxidizing oxygen and nitrous gases (N₂O).

Applicant's arguments filed on May 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that neither Shur nor Ma discloses forming an oxidation layer over a dielectric layer over a dielectric layer or silicon nitride layer as recited in independent claims 1 and 40. This is not found to be persuasive because Shur clearly teaches forming an oxidation layer over a dielectric layer over a dielectric layer or silicon nitride layer by contacting silicon nitride layer with a mixture of hydrogen and oxidizing oxygen and nitrous gases (N₂O) to oxidize the silicon nitride layer, see col. 7, lines 33+ and claims 11-14.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/651,998

Art Unit: 2812

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or clerical matters or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329 and Fax number (703) 306-5515. Group receptionist telephone number 703-308-0956.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. Jey Tsai whose telephone number is (703) 308-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on from 7:00 Am to 4:00 Pm., Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431.

hjt 7/24/03

H. Jey Tsai

Primary Examiner
Patent Examining Group 2800